COLPUTATION OF MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD ESTIMATES OF THE PARAMETERS OF LINEAR STOCHASTIC DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS IN THE CASE OF SERIALLY CORRELATED DISTURBANCES

Herman Chernoff

1. Resume of the Case of Independent Disturbances. Suppose that our model is given by the structural equations

where
$$y_t = \text{vector of observations on the endogenous variables in year } t$$

$$z_t = "" " predetermined "" ""$$

The subscripts of a matrix indicate its transformation capabilities and simultaneously the vectors and matrices which may be multiplied by it, e.g.

makes sense. It is to be noticed that u_t and y_t are compatible; that is, they have the same number of components, also Auz = [Auy Auz] In the past the case has been treated where u_t is normally distributed with mean 0, and u_t , u_s are independent for $t \neq s$ and $E(u_tu_t') = \sum_{uu}$, t = 1, 2, ..., T. No conditions were imposed on \sum_{uu} but linear homogenous restrictions were imposed on the coefficients of \sum_{uu}

It was shown that in this case the log of the likelihood function is given, except for an additive constant, by

It is in turn seen that L is maximized for $\hat{Q}_{ux} = A_{ux}$, $\hat{\Sigma}_{uu} = S_{uu}$ where

Sum =
$$A_{ux} M_{xx} A_{ux}^{\prime}$$

$$M_{xx} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{T} z_{k}^{\prime} x_{k}$$

and Aux maximizes

subject to the above mentioned linear homogeneous restrictions. The method of obtaining A_{ux} is computationally tied in with the expansion of $L^*(A_{ux} + h D_{ux})$

The validity of this expansion depends on the fact that $5uu = Aux M_{XX} Aux$ and in no way on the fact that these are the maximizing values or that there are restrictions on Aux. Computational facility was obtained in calculating Aux by considering $a_p = \nu \omega_p A_{xx} = \text{vector obtained by laying out the}$ rows of Aux. The restrictions can then be considered as

thus, there exists a matrix Φ_{qp} orthogenal to Φ_{ap} , $\begin{bmatrix} \underline{\underline{\sigma}}_{bp} \\ \overline{\underline{\Phi}}_{ap} \end{bmatrix}$ is square and α_p can be expressed by

where $\bar{\alpha}_i$ are the independent variables involved in A_{ux} . Once $\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{ip}$ is given $\bar{\alpha}_i$ is uniquely determined by α_i . For any vector b_i , there is a unique partition

The following lemmas were very useful.

Now the \overline{a}_q are actually dependent in that there is no unique maximum without normalizing on one element in each row. Once this normalization is carried out, and the conditions sufficient for identification are valid, there is a unique maximum. Let us normalize $\overline{a}_q = (\overline{a}_{q'}, \overline{a}_{q''})$ where $\overline{a}_{q'}$ is fixed. Thus $\overline{\Phi}_{q'} = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{\Phi}_{q'} \\ \overline{\Phi}_{q'} \\ \end{bmatrix}$ where $\overline{\Phi}_{q'}$ and $\overline{\Phi}_{q''}$ are orthogonal and

(This method essentially permits us to treat the case where the original linear restrictions on $V_{\omega \chi}$ were not necessarily homogeneous.) Thus it is not necessary to consider general $D_{\omega \chi}$ in the above expression. It suffices to consider $D_{\omega \chi}$ such that

 $d_p = \overline{d_{q-1}} \Phi_{q-1} p$ From the above lemmas we have

where $L_{q'q'}$ can be calculated in a straightforward fashion using the lemmas liberally. This is so because $L_{q'}$ terms occur only quadratically in the second order terms. $\frac{1}{T}L_{q'q'}$ gives the asymptotic covariance matrix of the estimates if it is calculated at $A_{\omega}x$.

2. <u>Dependent Disturbances</u>. Suppose that our disturbances are independent. In fact, let us suppose that they satisfy a simple Markoff process.

 V_{ϵ} and V_{δ} are independent for $t \neq \delta$ and $F(v_{\epsilon}'v_{\epsilon}') = \Theta_{v_{\epsilon}'}$ $t=1,2,\ldots,T$. (Typwill be used as an estimate of θ_{vv} and should not be confused with T = size of sample.) With no restrictions on B_{uv} we have

WE = (KE, KE-1), QuW = [XUX BUN XUX] where

From (2) we have

which as in (2) must give

and where

A maximizes

Maximizing with respect to B_{ν} , we see that we must minimize $\ell \nu_{J}$ dut $T_{\nu} \nu_{\nu}$

In Rubin's thesis there is a lemma which establishes that the value of Bon which minimizes det Tro is

This may also be established by expanding log dut Tor with respect to Bou. First let

20)
$$P_{0,0} = A_{ux} M_{xx} A_{ux}$$

 $P_{0,-1} = A_{ux} M_{xx_{-1}} A_{ux} = P_{y,0}$
 $P_{1,-1} = A_{ux} M_{x_{-1}x_{-1}} A_{ux}$

by symmetry. For $B_{\sigma u}$ to maximize $\log dx T_{rr}$ the coefficient of $E_{\sigma u}$ must vanish

$$T_{vv}^{-1}(P_{0,-1} + B_{vu}P_{-1,-1}) = 0$$

$$B_{vu} = -P_{0,-1}P_{-1,-1}^{-1}$$

$$T_{vv} = P_{0,0} - P_{0,1}P_{-1,-1}P_{1,0}$$

Now our problem reduces to maximizing

as a function of the "unrestricted" parameters of
$$A_{ux}$$
. Though expansion (5) is applicable we will find it convenient to redo the part with T_{vv} . We shall use the following expansions:

and if Cula is symmetric

Consider the effect of a change of hDyx on $P_{0,0}$, $P_{0,-1}$, $P_{0,0}$, $P_{0,-1}$,

$$O_{3}^{T} = O_{3} \times M_{x, x_{3}} A_{3}^{T} \times Q_{3}^{T}$$

$$Q_{-3} = A_{3} \times M_{x, x_{3}} O_{3}^{T} \times Q_{3}^{T}$$

$$R_{-3} = O_{3} \times M_{x, x_{3}} O_{3}^{T} \times Q_{3}^{T}$$

$$Q_{3}^{T} = Q_{3}^{T}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\sigma\sigma} \left\{ (A_{ux} + h D_{ux}) \right\} &= P_{oo} \left\{ (A_{ux} + h D_{ux}) \right\} - P_{o-1} \left[(A_{ux} + h D_{ux}) \right\} P_{o-1}^{-1} \left\{ (A_{ux} + h D_{ux}) \right\} \\ &= \left(o_{o} + h Q_{oo} + h Q_{oo}^{*} + h^{2} R_{oo} \right) - \left\{ (P_{o-1} + h Q_{o-1} + h Q_{o-1}^{*} + h^{2} R_{o-1}) \right\} \\ &= \left(P_{o-1}^{-1} - P_{o-1}^{-1} \left(h Q_{o-1} + h Q_{o-1}^{*} + h^{2} R_{o-1} \right) P_{o-1}^{-1} + P_{o-1}^{-1} \left(h Q_{o-1} + h Q_{o-1}^{*} + h Q_{o-1}^{*} \right) P_{o-1}^{-1} + P_{o-1}^{-1} \left(h Q_{o-1} + h Q_{o-1}^{*} + h Q_{o-1}^{*} \right) P_{o-1}^{-1} + P_{o-1}^{-1} \left((A_{o-1} + A_{o-1}^{*}) + P_{o-1}^{*} \left((A_{o-1} + A_{o-1}^{*}) + P_{o-1}^{*} \right) P_{o-1}^{*} P$$

... L* (Aux +h Dux) = log | det Auy | + h to [Auy Duy] - 1/2 to [Auy Duy Auy Duy]

- 1/2 log det Tur - h to [Tur (Qoo - (Qo - Hor)) Par Port Bor Par Bor

+ h to [Tri G or Tur Good - h to [Twi How] + O(h)]

An evaluation of the Lagramatrix here is considerably more difficult than in the case of serially uncorrelated disturbances for there are 45 terms here to evaluate compared to 4 in the other case. All the terms require about the same amount of work and some savings is obtained in that the work for some terms is used in others. A typical term is of the form

In the case where Φ_{q_p} consists of diagonal blocks;

$$\underline{\mathbf{T}}_{q_k} = \begin{bmatrix}
\mathbf{T}_{q_k} & \mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{0} & \mathbf{T}_{q_k} \\
\mathbf{0}
\end{bmatrix}$$

$$\underline{\mathbf{T}}_{q_k} = \begin{bmatrix}
\mathbf{T}_{q_k} & \mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{0} & \mathbf{T}_{q_k} \\
\mathbf{0}
\end{bmatrix}$$

where

The portion the above term contributes to $\lfloor \frac{1}{2} \rfloor = \| \lfloor \frac{1}{2} \rfloor \|$ is $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h^n \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}$

There are a few redeeming features. The linear terms involve only about 2 to 3 times as much labor as in the serially uncorrelated case. Thus a convergence method like the P_n method would be quite convenient. Also a convergence method using, instead of L_{ij} for the gradient, an expression which is asymptotically equivalent to L_{ij} would probably be quite cheap and efficient. In this case L_{ij} need be computed only once.

The questions of identification and consistency of the estimates arise. They should be treated in detail. In the meantine it can be said that if the equations $A_{ux} \times_{\mathcal{E}}' = u_{\mathcal{E}}'$ are identified without considering the lagged variables appearing, then the equations $A_{ux} \times_{\mathcal{E}}' + B_{ux} - A_{ux} \times_{\mathcal{E}}' = u_{\mathcal{E}}'$ are identified and furthermore the estimates are then consistent. This latter statement follows from an argument in which use is made of the fact that the estimates of the equations would be consistent with no restrictions on A_{ux} and that putting extra restrictions on A_{ux} does not affect consistency.