

COWLES FOUNDATION FOR RESEARCH IN ECONOMICS

AT YALE UNIVERSITY

Box 2125, Yale Station
New Haven, Connecticut

COWLES FOUNDATION DISCUSSION PAPER NO. 144

Note: Cowles Foundation Discussion Papers are preliminary materials circulated to stimulate discussion and critical comment. Requests for single copies of a Paper will be filled by the Cowles Foundation within the limits of the supply. References in publications to Discussion Papers (other than mere acknowledgment by a writer that he has access to such unpublished material) should be cleared with the author to protect the tentative character of these papers.

On a Theorem of Halmos Concerning Unbiased Estimation of Moments

Hendrik S. Konijn

August 6, 1962

Correction sheet for CTDP No. 144

Page 2.

To "(a)" add: "In [3a] this seemingly uninteresting fact has been applied to a practical case."

Page 4.

Title of section: leave off s in first word and append the following footnote: "It has been remarked that it is obvious that from a sample of 1 it is not possible to obtain an unbiased estimate of two independent parameters, i.e., two functions F_1 and F_2 on a class of distributions such that there

exists no function φ in the plane with $\varphi[F_1(D), F_2(D)] = 0$ for all distributions D in the class. That this is not so is easily shown by an example. Let $\alpha^2 = \nu^2 + \omega^2$, then ν and α^2 are independent parameters of \mathcal{D} , but $\nu = \mathcal{E} z$, $\alpha^2 = \mathcal{E} z^2$.

Page 8.

Add [3a] KONIJN, H. S. (196). Nonexistence of Consistent Estimator Sequences and Unbiased Estimates: A Practical Example, (Forthcoming).

ON A THEOREM OF HALMOS CONCERNING UNBIASED ESTIMATION OF MOMENTS

Hendrik S. Konijn

1. Introduction

In [3] Halmos considers the following situation. Let \mathcal{D} be a class of one dimensional distribution functions and F a function over \mathcal{D} . He investigates which functions F admit estimates that are unbiased over \mathcal{D} and what are all possible such estimates of any given F . In particular he shows that on the basis of a sample of size $n(\geq 1)$ one can always obtain an estimate of the first moment which is unbiased in \mathcal{D} and that the central moments \bar{F}_m of order $m \geq 2$ have estimates which are unbiased in \mathcal{D} if and only if $n \geq m$, provided \mathcal{D} satisfies the following properties: \bar{F}_m exists and is finite for all distributions in \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{D} includes all distributions which assign probability one to a finite number of points.* Halmos also finds

* Let $E_{a,b,p}$ be the distribution which assigns probability p to the point a and $1-p$ to the point b . One can easily modify Halmos' argument to show that the second condition on \mathcal{D} can be replaced by: there exist two different points a and b and a set T of at least $m+1$ different numbers in the closed interval from 0 to 1 such that \mathcal{D} contains $\{E_{a,b,p} \mid p \in T\}$.

that under additional conditions symmetric unbiased estimates are unique** and have smaller variances than the unsymmetric ones.

** It will be convenient to call a function on a k -dimensional Euclidean space the unique function satisfying certain property if any other function on this space satisfying the property may differ from it only on a set of k -dimensional Lebesgue measure zero.

He recognizes that his assumptions are too restrictive for most applications and mentions in particular the case where \mathcal{L} is the class of all normal distributions. The present paper addresses itself to that case.

2. Statement of results

If \mathcal{L} is the class of all nondegenerate univariate normal distributions, then, on the basis of a sample of size $n(\geq 1)$ an estimate of the first moment which is unbiased over \mathcal{L} exists (and is unique when $n = 1$); and a central moment of order $2r \geq 2$ has estimates which are unbiased over \mathcal{L} if and only if $n \geq 2$, and is a unique symmetric unbiased estimate when $n = 2$ but not if $n > 2$.

Specifically, this means the following:

Let z_1, \dots, z_n be a sample for a normal distribution with mean ν and variance $\omega^2 > 0$. Let $\bar{z} = n^{-1} \sum z_i$, $S^2 = \sum (z_i - \bar{z})^2$. Recall that the even central moments \bar{F}_{2r} equal $\omega^{2r} 2^{-r} (2r)!/r!$ and the odd ones vanish.

(a) If $n = 1$, $\bar{z} = z_1$ is the unique unbiased estimate of ν , and no unbiased estimate of \bar{F}_{2r} exists for $r = 1, 2, \dots$.

(b) If $n \geq 2$,

$$\bar{F}_{2r} = \frac{\left\{ \frac{1}{2}(n-1) - 1 \right\}! (2r)!}{\left\{ \frac{1}{2}(n-1) + r - 1 \right\}! r!} \left(\frac{1}{2} S \right)^{2r}$$

is an unbiased estimate of \bar{F}_{2r} ($r = 1, 2, \dots$), and is the unique symmetric unbiased estimate if $n = 2$, but not if $n > 2$.

In the next two sections we prove the parts of (a) and (b) which are not immediately obvious.

It now follows from [4] that, if $n \geq 2$, \bar{z} and \bar{F}_{2r} are the unique unbiased estimates of v and \bar{F}_{2r} depending only on the sufficient statistic (\bar{z}, S^2) and have the smallest variance among all unbiased estimates. Note that \bar{z} and S^2 are symmetric functions of the observations. The usual symmetric estimate \bar{F}'_{2r} for \bar{F}_{2r} , which is unbiased for all distribution functions for which \bar{F}_{2r} exists, is defined only when $n \geq 2r$. It cannot be specified in a convenient general formula. When $r = 1$ it coincides with \bar{F}_2 , when $r = 2$ it equals [1, 27.6]:

$$\bar{F}'_4 = \frac{(n-4)!}{n!} \left\{ n(n^2-2n+3) \Sigma(z_i - \bar{z})^4 - 3(2n-3) S^4 \right\} \quad (n \geq 4).$$

Being symmetric, \bar{F}'_{2r} is unique among symmetric estimates which are unbiased in the class of all distribution with finite \bar{F}_{2r} by Halmos' results. But in the normal case our results imply that \bar{F}_{2r} has a smaller variance than \bar{F}'_{2r} for $r > 1$.

3. Nonexistence of an unbiased estimate of \bar{F}_{2r} in a sample of 1.

In this section we denote z_1 by z . If $h(z)$ is an unbiased estimate of \bar{F}_{2r} then

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ h(z+v) - z^{2r} \right\} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} z^2 \omega^{-2} \right) dz$$

should vanish for all v and all $\omega > 0$. This integral can be written as an integral over the positive axis and then we can make the substitution $u = z^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and obtain, setting $\omega' = (2 \omega^2)^{-1}$, that

$$\int_0^{\infty} \left\{ h(-u^{\frac{1}{2}} + v) + h(u^{\frac{1}{2}} + v) - 2 u^r \right\} u^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp(-u \omega') du$$

is zero for all v and all $\omega' > 0$. This being a Laplace transform of $u^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ times the expression in brackets, it follows that

$$h(-z + v) + h(z + v) - 2 z^{2r} = 0$$

for all v and almost all positive z . That is, there is a set T on the positive z axis such that the Lebesgue measure ℓ of the positive points z not in T is zero and such that the above equality holds on T for all real v .

As we shall show below, there exist two points a and $\frac{1}{2}a$ in T .

Choosing $v = a$ and $2a$ respectively gives for $z = a$

$$h(0) + h(2a) = 2a^{2r}, \quad h(a) + h(3a) = 2a^{2r},$$

so that,

$$h(0) + h(a) + h(2a) + h(3a) = 4a^{2r} .$$

Choosing $v = \frac{1}{2} a$ and $2 \frac{1}{2} a$ respectively gives for $z = \frac{1}{2} a$

$$h(0) + h(a) = \frac{1}{2} a^{2r}, \quad h(2a) + h(3a) = \frac{1}{2} a^{2r},$$

so that

$$h(0) + h(a) + h(2a) + h(3a) = a^{2r} .$$

Since $a \neq 0$, this is a contradiction.

To show that one can choose two points a and $\frac{1}{2} a$ in T , let a' be in T and let $0 < b < a'$. Define the disjoint intervals I_i from ia' to $i(a'+b)$ for $i = 1, 2$, which have $\ell(I_i T) = ib$. Denote by $p_j(I_i T)$ the set of points x in $I_j T$ such that $i x j^{-1}$ is in $I_i T$. Since given any $\eta > 0$ there is a denumerable collection of open intervals whose union contains the set $I_i - I_i T$ of points in I_i but not in $I_i T$ and whose total length is less than η (see e.g., [5, 19.15]), there is a sequence of intervals whose union contains $I_i - p_j(I_i T)$ and whose total length is less than $j \eta i^{-1}$, so/ $\ell\left\{ p_j(I_i T) \right\} = jb$. Now let

$$T_2 = I_2 T p_2(I_1 T), \quad T_1 = p_1(T_2),$$

then, since the T_i are subsets of T with $\ell(T_i) = ib$, there exist $a > 0$ such that $\frac{1}{2} ia$ is in T_i for $i = 1$ and 2 , so that $\frac{1}{2} a$ and a are in T .

4. Uniqueness of the unbiased symmetric estimate of \bar{F}_{2r} in a sample of 2 and nonuniqueness in a larger sample.

For $n \geq 2$ (so that S^2 is not identically zero) the sufficiency of the statistic (\bar{z}, S^2) and the completeness of its distribution imply that \bar{f}_{2r} is the unique unbiased estimate of its expectation \bar{F}_{2r} among unbiased estimates depending on (\bar{z}, S^2) only [4]. Now if $n = 2$, (\bar{z}, S^2) determines the set $\{z_1, z_2\}$ of observations, but not their order. Therefore \bar{f}_{2r} is also the unique unbiased estimate of \bar{F}_{2r} among unbiased estimates which are symmetric in the observations.

That this is not so for $n \geq 2r > 2$ is shown by the unbiasedness of the symmetric estimates \bar{f}'_{2r} which differ from \bar{f}_{2r} for all $r > 1$, since \bar{f}_{2r} when defined contains the factor $\Sigma(z_i - \bar{z})^{2r} (n-2r+1)^{-1}$ [3].

For $2 < n < 2r$ one can always combine expressions involving $\Sigma(z_i - \bar{z})^4$ and S^4 to get unbiased symmetric estimates of \bar{F}_{2r} . For example, if $n = 3$, $1 \frac{1}{2} \Sigma(z_i - \bar{z})^4 = F_4 + \bar{F}_2^2$ and, in the normal case, S^4 has mean $8 \bar{F}_2^2$, so that $1 \frac{1}{2} \Sigma(z_i - \bar{z})^4 - S^4/8$ is a symmetric unbiased estimate of \bar{F}_4 different from $3 S^4/8$.

5. Remarks

One could similarly discuss unbiased estimation of other functions over the class of normal distributions.

Fraser [2] adapts Halmos' argument to the case where \mathcal{L} contains all distribution uniform over intervals, ^{***} such as is the case when \mathcal{L} is the class of absolutely continuous distributions.

The writer is much indebted to T. C. Koopmans and T. N. Srinivasan for helpful suggestions.

*** This requirement can be weakened; in fact, it is already a weakened version of Fraser's requirement.

REFERENCES

- [1] CRAMER, H. (1946), Mathematical Methods of Statistics, Princeton University Press, Princeton, xvi and 575 pp.
- [2] FRASER, D. A. S. (1954), Completeness of Order Statistics, Canad. J. of Math., 6, 42-5.
- [3] HALMOS, P. R. (1946), The Theory of Unbiased Estimation, Ann. Math. Statist., 17, 34-43.
- [4] LEHMANN, E. L., and SCHEFFE, H. (1950, 1955). Completeness, Similar Regions, and Unbiased Estimation. Sankhya, 10, 305-40, 15, 219-36.
- [5] McSHANE, E. J. (1947). Integration. Princeton University Press, Princeton, viii and 392 pp.