

COWLES FOUNDATION DISCUSSION PAPER NO. 33

Note: Cowles Foundation Discussion Paper are preliminary materials circulated privately to stimulate private discussion and critical comment. References in publications to Discussion Papers (other than mere acknowledgment by a writer that he has access to such unpublished material) should be cleared with the author to protect the tentative character of these papers.

Alternative Forecasts of Saving 1947-56

James Tobin

June 4, 1957

Alternative Forecasts of Saving 1947-56

In a review of Robert Ferber's A Study of Aggregate Consumption Functions, published in the American Economic Review, September 1954, pp. 667-671, I presented computations of the errors of three naive forecasts and four formula forecasts for the years 1947-1953. These computations have now been extended through 1956, and are shown in Table 1 attached. (The figures for 1953 have been recomputed on the basis of revised data.) In extending these computations, it was found that the third and fourth lines for formula 2.10 were in error in the original Table. Had these been correctly computed, the first-difference version of formula 2.10 would have had the lowest average error of all formulas in 1948-53, as it does for 1948-56. With this correction, the general pattern of results remains much the same as before. The peak-income formula 2.22 is easily the best of the regular 1923-40 regressions, so far as post-war predictions are concerned. But it is not the best predictor among the regular "recomputed regressions" or among the first-difference regressions of either variety. Naive forecasts are as good as any of the 1923-40 regular regressions, with the possible exception of the peak-income formula 2.22. But on the basis of each of the three other methods of calculations, any of the formulas predicts better than any of the naive methods. First-differencing is clearly a superior procedure, judging from the results of the 1923-40 regressions. But its superiority is not clear in the case of the "recomputed regressions."

Table 1. Alternative Forecasts of Saving 1947-56

	1947	1948	1949	1950	1951
ACTUAL AGGREGATE PERSONAL SAVING, (S)	3.9	10.5	6.7	11.2	17.0
NAIVE FORECASTS S* (PERCENTAGE ERRORS IN PARENTHESES)					
S* = S-1 Projection of aggregate personal saving	(-207.7)12.0	(62.8)3.9	(-56.7)10.5	(40.2)3.7	(34.1)11.2
S*/Y=(S/Y)-1 Projection of saving-disposable income ratio	(-230.8)12.9	(59.0)4.3	(-56.7)10.5	(33.9)7.4	(27.1)12.4
S*/NP=(S/NP)-1 Projection of per capita real saving	(-259.0)14.0	(59.0)4.3	(-58.2)10.6	(38.4)5.9	(27.6)12.5
FORMULA FORECASTS S* (PERCENTAGE ERRORS IN PARENTHESES)					
(Ferber formula 2.4) $S^*/NP = a + b \frac{Y}{NP}$					
1923-40 regression	(-300.0)15.6	(-68.6)17.7	(-158.2)17.3	(-82.1)20.4	(-31.2)22.3
Recomputed regressions	(-300.0)15.6	(7.0) 9.7	(- 47.8) 9.9	(8.0)10.3	(31.8)11.6
1923-40 regression of first differences	(-151.3) 9.8	(52.4) 5.0	(-47.8) 9.9	(7.1)10.4	(28.8)12.1
Recomputed regressions of first differences	(-151.3) 9.8	(50.5) 5.2	(-44.8) 9.7	(- 0.9)11.3	(28.8)12.1
(Ferber formula 2.8) $\frac{S^*}{NP} = a + b_1 \frac{Y}{NP} + b_2 \left(\frac{Y}{NP}\right)^{-1}$					
1923-40 regression	(-225.6)12.7	(-53.3)16.1	(-128.4)15.3	(-73.2)19.4	(-17.1)19.9
Recomputed regressions	(-223.1)12.6	(0.0)10.5	(- 40.3) 9.4	(-14.3)12.8	(35.9)10.9
1924-40 regression of first differences		(43.8) 5.9	(- 44.8) 9.7	(3.6)10.8	(32.9)11.4
Recomputed regressions of first differences		(43.8) 5.9	(- 41.8) 9.5	(- 0.9)11.3	(37.6)10.6
(Ferber formula 2.10) $\frac{S^*}{P} = a + b_1 \frac{Y}{P} + b_2 N$					
1923-40 regression	(-241.0)13.3	(-41.0)14.8	(-109.0)14.0	(-51.8)17.0	(- 5.9)18.0
Recomputed regressions	(-246.2)13.5	(30.5) 7.3	(- 14.9) 7.7	(18.8) 9.1	(41.8) 9.9
1923-40 regression of first differences	(-148.7) 9.7	(19.0) 8.5	(- 32.8) 8.9	(12.5) 9.8	(34.7)11.1
Recomputed regressions of first differences	(-148.7) 9.7	(16.2) 8.8	(- 23.9) 8.3	(7.1)10.4	(38.8)10.4
(Ferber formula 2.22) $\frac{S^*}{Y} = a + b \left(\frac{Y}{NP}\right)^0$					
1923-40 regression	(-17.9) 4.6	(16.2) 8.8	(- 14.9) 7.7	(0.0)11.2	(42.9) 9.7
Recomputed regressions	(- 17.9) 4.6	(17.1) 8.7	(- 6.0) 7.1	(0.0)11.2	(42.9) 9.7
1924-40 regression of first differences		(14.3) 9.0	(- 37.3) 9.2	(3.6)10.8	(45.9) 9.2
Recomputed regressions of first differences		(14.3) 9.0	(- 37.3) 9.2	(1.8)11.0	(47.1) 9.0

Average of Absolute
Values of Percentage
Errors of Forecast

1952	1953	1954	1955	1956	1947-56	1948-56
16.9	19.7	17.9	16.6	20.8		
(-0.6)17.0	(14.2)16.9	(-10.1)19.7	(- 7.8)17.9	(20.2)16.6	45.4	27.4
(-5.9)17.9	(8.6)18.0	(-11.7)20.0	(-14.5)19.0	(15.4)17.6	46.4	25.9
(-5.3)17.8	(12.7)17.2	(-12.3)20.1	(- 9.6)18.2	(17.8)17.1	50.0	26.8
(-37.3)25.2	(-32.0)26.0	(-47.5)26.4	(-76.5)29.3	(-52.9)31.8	88.6	65.1
(21.9)13.2	(22.3)15.3	(9.9)16.3	(-10.2)18.3	(6.7)19.4	46.6	18.5
(4.7)16.1	(- 2.5)20.2	(-11.2)19.9	(-28.9)21.4	(8.2)19.1	34.3	21.3
(5.3)16.0	(- 7.1)21.1	(-10.6)19.8	(-33.7)22.2	(6.7)19.4	35.1	22.2
(-22.5)20.7	(-23.9)24.4	(-30.7)23.4	(-62.7)27.0	(-38.5)28.8	67.6	50.0
(24.9)12.7	(14.7)16.8	(12.8)15.6	(-18.7)19.7	(4.8)19.8	39.0	18.5
(- 4.1)17.6	(- 4.6)20.6	(- 7.8)19.3	(-30.7)21.7	(10.1)18.7		20.3
(- 4.1)17.6	(- 5.6)20.8	(- 6.7)19.1	(-31.9)21.9	(11.5)18.4		20.4
(- 7.7)18.2	(- 9.1)21.5	(-19.0)21.3	(-45.2)24.1	(-25.5)26.1	55.5	34.9
(31.4)11.6	(25.9)14.6	(12.3)15.7	(- 9.0)18.1	(15.4)17.6	44.6	22.2
(4.1)16.2	(- 2.0)20.1	(- 4.5)18.7	(-24.7)20.7	(11.5)18.4	29.5	16.2
(1.8)16.6	(- 8.1)21.3	(- 6.1)19.0	(-32.5)22.0	(10.6)18.6	29.4	16.1
(40.8)10.0	(34.0)13.0	(38.5)11.0	(14.5)14.2	(32.2)14.1	25.2	26.0
(38.5)10.4	(29.4)13.9	(32.4)12.1	(3.6)16.0	(23.6)15.9	21.1	21.5
(- 4.7)17.7	(- 6.6)21.0	(3.4)17.3	(-18.7)19.7	(21.6)16.3		17.3
(- 4.7)17.7	(- 6.1)20.9	(2.8)17.4	(-31.9)21.0	(21.1)16.4		18.6

Explanation of Table 1

Symbols. S aggregate personal saving
S_t forecast value of S
Y aggregate disposable income
N midyear population
P consumers' price index 1935-39 = 100
(X)_{t-1} value of x in preceding year. For 1947, this is taken to be 1946
(X)₀ highest previous value of x, excluding the years 1941-1946, except that, following Ferber, the 1946 value is used as the highest value prior to 1947.

Forecasts by alternative methods have been made comparable by expressing all of them as forecasts of aggregate personal saving. All forecasts are computed on the assumption that the value of all variables other than S are known in advance.

Percentage errors of forecast are $100 \frac{S - S_t}{S}$. Thus over-estimates appear with a negative sign. Averages of these errors, with signs disregarded, are given in the last two columns.

Naive forecasts. Three different forecasts of saving are obtained from alternative definitions of the variable whose value in the preceding year is taken as the forecast.

Formula forecasts

Formulas selected. Four of the formulas studied by Ferber have been selected for these calculations. All formulas that fail to make allowance for price and population effects have been excluded. All formulas involving "time" as a variable have been excluded, on the principle that "time" is an unsatisfactory explanatory variable, especially ambiguous because of the gap due to the war. Of the two M-D type formulas that would not be excluded by these criteria, 2.21 and 2.22, only 2.22 has been presented. 2.21 leads to very similar but not quite as good forecasts.

Regressions used. Only regressions that use all the data from 1923-40 are included. "Recomputed regressions" have the following periods of fit:

<u>Forecast year</u>	<u>Period of fit</u>	
	Formulas 2.8 and 2.22 first differences	All other "recomputed regressions"
1947		1923-40
1948	1923-40	1923-40, 1947
1949	1923-40, 1948	1923-40, 1947-48
1950	1923-40, 1948-49	1923-40, 1947-49
1951	1923-40, 1948-50	1923-40, 1947-50
1952	1923-40, 1948-51	1923-40, 1947-51
1953	1923-40, 1948-52	1923-40, 1947-52
etc.		

The shorter periods of fit for the first difference versions of 2.8 and 2.22 were necessitated by their use of lagged variables.

First difference regressions were fit with no constant term, since a constant term would imply a time trend in the saving variable.