

ESTIMATED COSTS OF CONTACT IN COLLEGE AND  
HIGH SCHOOL MALE SPORTS

By

Ray Fair and Christopher Champa

August 2017  
Revised October 2017

COWLES FOUNDATION DISCUSSION PAPER NO. 3001R



COWLES FOUNDATION FOR RESEARCH IN ECONOMICS  
YALE UNIVERSITY  
Box 208281  
New Haven, Connecticut 06520-8281

<http://cowles.yale.edu/>

# Estimated Costs of Contact in College and High School Male Sports

Ray C. Fair\* and Christopher Champa<sup>†‡</sup>

September 2017

## Abstract

Injury rates in twelve U.S. men's college sports and five U.S. boys' high school sports are examined in this paper. The sports are categorized as "contact" or "non-contact," and differences in injury rates between the two are examined. Injury rates in the contact sports are considerably higher than those in the non-contact sports and they are on average more severe. Estimates are presented of the injury savings that would result if the contact sports were changed to have injury rates similar to those in the non-contact sports. The estimated college savings are 49,600 fewer injuries per year and 6,000 fewer years lost-to-injury per year. The estimated high school savings are 601,900 fewer injuries per year and 96,000 fewer years lost-to-injury per year. For concussions the savings are 6,900 per year for college and 161,400 per year for high school. The estimated dollar value (in 2015 dollars) of the total injury savings is between \$446 million and \$1.5 billion per year for college and between \$5.4 billion and \$19.2 billion per year for high school. Section 11 speculates on how the contact sports might be changed to have their injury rates be similar to those in the non-contact sports.

---

\*Cowles Foundation, Department of Economics, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06520-8281.  
e-mail: ray.fair@yale.edu; website: *fairmodel.econ.yale.edu*.

<sup>†</sup>Undergraduate, Yale University.

<sup>‡</sup>We are indebted to Jason Galtonde for considerable research assistance. Were it not for his time constraints, Jason would be a coauthor on this paper. We are also indebted to Emily Oster, Sharon Oster, and Jesse Shapiro for helpful comments.

# 1 Introduction

Injury rates in twelve U.S. men’s college sports and five U.S. boys’ high school sports are examined in this paper for the 2009/2010–2013/2014 period. The college data are from the National Collegiate Athletic Association Injury Surveillance Program (NCAA-ISP)—the Datalys data.<sup>1</sup> The high school data are from the High School RIO (Reporting Information Online) project.<sup>2</sup> The data are compiled from a sample of reporting colleges and high schools and blown up to national totals.

The sports are categorized as “contact” or “non-contact,” and differences in injury rates between the two are examined. Injury rates in the contact sports are considerably higher than those in the non-contact sports and they are on average more severe. Estimates are presented of the injury savings that would result if the contact sports were changed to have injury rates similar to those in the non-contact sports. The estimated college savings are 49,600 fewer injuries per year and 6,000 fewer years lost-to-injury per year. The estimated high school savings are 601,900 fewer injuries per year and 96,000 fewer years lost-to-injury per year. For concussions the savings are 6,900 per year for college and 161,400 per year for high school. The college concussion rate for football is about 15 times greater than that for the non-contact sports. The difference is 10 times greater for high school. The estimated dollar value (in 2015 dollars) of the total injury savings is between \$446 million and \$1.5 billion per year for college and between \$5.4 billion and \$19.2 billion per year for high school. A little over half of the college savings are from football, and a little over 70 percent of the high school savings are from football. Section 11 speculates on how the contact sports might be changed to have their injury rates be similar to those in the non-contact sports.

Section 2 reviews the literature. The college results are discussed first in Sec-

---

<sup>1</sup>These data are created, compiled, or produced by the Datalys Center for Sports Injury Research and Prevention, Inc. on behalf of the National Collegiate Athletic Association.

<sup>2</sup>Various reports produced by Comstock, Currie, and Pierpoint (2011-2015).

tions 3–6, followed by the high school results in Sections 7–10. Table 13 in Section 11 summarizes the estimated cost savings.

## 2 Literature

Many of the previous studies that have used the Datalys data have focused on specific sports or injuries. One approach is to fix a sport and determine the breakdown of injuries incurred while playing this sport. Roos et al. (2016) analyze all injuries reported in men’s and women’s soccer. They compare injury rates per athletic exposure between genders, and they characterize the quality of these injuries. Kerr et al. (2016) examine cross country injuries, comparing, among other things, injury rates across genders. Lynall et al. (2015) examine tennis injuries.

Another approach is to fix a particular injury and analyze its distribution across sports. Hibberd et al. (2016) and Dalton et al. (2015) examine acromioclavicular joint sprain. Some studies fix a particular sport and injury. Dalton et al. (2016) examine hip/groin injuries in ice hockey. Gardner (2015) examines head, face, and eye injuries in women’s field hockey. Lynall et al. (2016) find that concussive injuries division I football games occur more frequently at higher altitudes.

McAllister et al. (2012), not using Datalys data, examine the difference in the quantity of head impacts and subsequent cognitive effects between collegiate contact sports (football and ice hockey) and non-contact sports (rowing, track, and skiing). They used accelerometer data for a single season, finding that there was no significant difference in performance across the different sports in most cognitive tests. They did find, however, that in the contact sports the players averaged over 400 hits above the 14.4g threshold in the season, which could have longer term consequences. Using a longer time horizon, Montenigro et al. (2016) found that the estimated number of hits sustained by football players in college and high school, which were estimated through surveys and published accelerometer data, strongly predicts emotional and mental issues in later life. Their cumulative head

impact index had more explanatory power than just concussive history in a probit model. This suggests that cumulative hits may be important in long-term health.

Regarding the high school RIO data, Marar et al. (2012) used the data to examine concussions in 20 sports for the 2008–2010 academic years. They found that concussions occur in a wide variety of high school sports, not just the full-contact sports like football and ice hockey. Rosenthal et al. (2014) used the RIO data to examine trends in concussion rates in 9 sports for the 7 academic years 2005/2006 through 2011/2012. They found an increase in the concussion rate in each of the 9 sports, with the increase being statistically significant in 5 of the sports over the 7-year period. Schallmo et al. (2017) used the data to examine concussion rates in all high school sports, both boys' and girls', for the two academic years 2005/2006 and 2014/2015. They found a significant increase in the overall concussion rate, that girls have a higher risk of concussion than boys, and that in 2014/2015 the highest concussion rate was in girls' soccer.

In an earlier study, using data from 25 schools in a large public high school system, Lincoln et al. (2011) examined concussion rates in 12 sports for the academic years 1997/1998 through 2007/2008. They found that concussion rates increased over time in all 12 sports. Girls' soccer had the second highest concussion rate at 0.35 concussions per 1,000 exposures, behind the football concussion rate of 0.60

This study provides for men's and boys' sports a broader comparison across injuries and sports than has been done so far, and it incorporates economic cost into the analysis. The base case is taken to be injury rates in non-contact sports. And college results are compared to high school results.

It is important to note that both the Datalys data and the RIO data cover only short-term injury costs. There is a growing literature examining potential long-term health consequences of competing in contact sports, such as Montnigro et al. (2016), but this is a question beyond the scope of the data used here. It may be that the long-term costs are much larger than the short-term costs computed here.

### 3 College Data

The NCAA-ISP data are created from a sample of schools. Each participating school reports injury information to the NCAA. As discussed below, multiplication factors are used to blow the sample values up to national totals.

The NCAA-ISP data contain two files. The first file documents athletic exposures. An exposure is defined as “a practice or competition in which a student-athlete was exposed to the possibility of athletic injury, regardless of the time associated with the participation.” In the file each “exposure” is one observation, with a unique identifier key and the number of athletes who participated in the session. An exposure is thus a record of a practice or competition. If one observation in the file records, say, 20 athletes participating in the session, that observation actually codes for 20 total athlete exposures. For each observation there are codes for the sport, the college division, and the academic year. There are 12 sports, three college divisions, and five academic years. The data are for men only.

The second file documents injuries. One observation records a single injury. Included in each observation are codes for the specific injury classification, a 50 group injury classification, the year, the sport, the division, the number of days lost, whether or not the injury required surgery, and various other data.

For a given injury classification, the injury rate is the number of injuries divided by the number of exposures. Rates are calculated by simply counting the total number of athlete exposures for a certain sport, division, and year, counting the number of injuries of a particular classification in the same sport, division, and year, and dividing the two. For any given sport, division, and year, the number of exposures will always be the same. Rates only differ because the number of injuries differs, not because the number of exposures differs.

In this paper four injury types, based on 15 injury group classifications, are examined. The aggregation of the injuries into the four types is presented in Table 1. Fifteen of the 50 injury group classifications have been used. The four

**Table 1**  
**The Four Injury Types**

---

<b>concuss</b>	concussion, nervous system
<b>bone</b>	exostosis, fracture, fracture (stress), myositis ossificans, osteochondritis
<b>tear</b>	cartilage injury, dislocation, sprain, strain, strain/tear, subluxation
<b>muscle</b>	contusion (hematoma), spasm

---

**Not used:**

abrasion, arthritis/chondromalacia, avascular necrosis, avulsion, avulsion/fracture, bursitis, capsulitis, cardiovascular, compartment syndrome, cysts, dental, dermatology, effusion, endocrine system, entrapment/impingement, environmental, gastrointestinal, genitourinary, hematology, illness, infection, infectious disease, inflammation, internal organ, laceration, miscellaneous, neoplasm, psychological, respiratory, rheumatology, synovitis, tendinitis, tendinosis, tenosynovitis, thrombosis.

types are roughly: concussions, bone injuries, tear injuries, and muscle injuries. Other injury groups were deemed too rare or too mild to warrant consideration. The injury rate for all injuries, all sports, all divisions, and all years is 6.42 per 1,000 exposures. This compares to 4.92 per 1,000 for only the four injury types in this analysis.

Also included with the NCAA-ISP data are multiplication factors to convert the sample values to national totals. Each observation in both files includes a weight, which varies by sport, division, and year. For example, the weight for division I men's baseball for the 2009/2010 academic year is 111.11. This means that each exposure or injury in the sample is assumed to be 111.11 exposures or injuries at the national level. The multiplication factors are computed by a simple formula: for each sport, division, and year, the weight is just the number of sponsoring schools divided by the number of schools participating in the ISP program, where a sponsoring school is a school with a team for the particular sport, division, and year. For additional information on the weighting procedure, Kerr et al. (2014) provide a complete guide on the methodology of the collection and weighting

processes of the ISP program. The majority of the available literature uses these weights, and our analysis has done the same.

For more discussion on collection methodology and summary statistics, see Kerr et al. (2015).

Although the Datalys data are widely used, they have limitations. They come from a convenience sample of NCAA institutions, with athletic trainers voluntarily documenting injuries. The data are not necessarily a representative sample of the national population. The data may also be subject to underreporting. It may be that athletes experience conditions that do not quite qualify as injury, but still adversely impact health. See Baugh et al. (2014) for a discussion on college football underreporting. Athletic trainers may also differ in what qualifies as an injury, and so there is some subjectivity involved in reporting injuries.

Privacy issues also limit the amount of information that can be obtained from the data. The only personal information on an athlete is gender. For example, it is not known whether an injured athlete was a starter or a bench player. The college is also not known except for which division it is in.

Although the data are not perfect, they are generally accepted as being reasonably accurate. Kucera et al. (2016) analyzed the effectiveness of the ISP by performing a capture-recapture analysis of ISP data for men's and women's soccer teams at 15 universities for the time period 2005-2008. The authors reviewed hard-copy athletic trainer injury assessments and clinician notes to come up with an independent database on injuries. They then compared this database with the ISP database for the same teams over the same time period. Based on proportions of injuries captured in one, both, or (an estimate of) neither database, the authors determined that the NCAA ISP program captured 88.3% of all time-loss relevant injuries over that period.

Kerr et al. (2014) provide an overview of the data collection process and quality control measures.



Much of the following analysis focuses on the aggregation of all five years, all three divisions, and all injuries. There is undoubtedly measurement error in the data, and to the extent that measurement errors are not perfectly correlated with each other, aggregation should lessen the effects of measurement error.

## 4 Injury Rates

Consider first the aggregation of the three divisions and the five academic years. For this aggregation let  $E_k$  denote the number of exposures in sport  $k$ ; let  $I_{ik}$  denote the number of injuries of type  $i$  in sport  $k$ ; let  $D_{ik}$  denote the number of days lost from injuries of type  $i$  in sport  $k$ ; and let  $S_{ik}$  denote the number of injuries of type  $i$  in sport  $k$  that required surgery. The injury rate for injury of type  $i$  and sport  $k$  is  $I_{ik}/E_k$ .<sup>3</sup>

Injury rates for the four types of injuries are presented in Table 2 for the 12 sports. These rates are for the aggregation across the five years and the three divisions. Five of the sports have been classified as “non-contact:” tennis, baseball, indoor track, cross country, and outdoor track. Swimming has been excluded from the non-contact category because it is almost injury free. It is not representative of the other non-contact sports. Injury rates for the non-contact category, denoted  $N$ , are also presented in Table 2.

---

<sup>3</sup>The number of days lost was computed as follows. Included for each observation in the second ISP file is a variable that gives the exact number of days lost for each specific injury. Denote this variable  $D1$ . Unfortunately,  $D1$  has many missing observations. Also included is a variable giving rough categories of days lost due to an injury. The categories are: 1) did not have to sit out, 2) sat out but returned within the same practice or competition, 3) missed 1-6 days of participation, 4) missed 7-13 days, 5) missed 14-29 days, 6) missed 30+ days, or 7) missed the entire season. Denote this variable  $D2$ . It has only a few missing observations. To come up with a value for the number of days lost for categories 3) through 7), when data were available on both  $D1$  and  $D2$  the average of the  $D1$  values was computed for each of the categories. This gave five numbers: 3.303, 9.477, 19.620, 53.330, and 147.880. Values of 0.5 and 1.0 were assigned to categories 1) and 2) respectively. These seven numbers were then used to fill in the missing values for  $D1$ , given the information on the classification from  $D2$ .

**Table 2**  
**Injury Rates: Injuries per 1,000 Exposures**  
**All Five Years, All Three Divisions**

	1000( $I/E$ )				
	<b>all 4</b>				
	<b>injuries</b>	<b>concuss</b>	<b>bone</b>	<b>tear</b>	<b>muscle</b>
Wrestling	8.34	1.35	0.42	5.67	0.90
Football	7.79	0.92	0.34	5.13	1.41
Ice Hockey	7.77	0.87	0.46	3.71	2.72
Soccer	6.50	0.37	0.29	4.34	1.50
Basketball	6.33	0.44	0.34	4.00	1.55
Lacrosse	5.49	0.40	0.28	3.46	1.35
<i>N</i> : Non-contact	2.62	0.06	0.16	1.89	0.51
Tennis	3.50	0.09	0.16	2.95	0.30
Baseball	3.17	0.11	0.22	1.96	0.88
Indoor track	2.43	0.03	0.09	2.02	0.29
Cross country	2.26	0.06	0.31	1.57	0.33
Outdoor track	1.75	0.02	0.05	1.35	0.33
Swimming	0.70	0.04	0.04	0.51	0.12

*I* = number of injuries, *E* = number of exposures,  
Non-contact sports are taken to be tennis, baseball,  
indoor track, cross country, and outdoor track.

Wrestling has the highest injury rates for *concuss* and *tear*. The rate is particularly high for *concuss*—1.35 concussions per 1,000 exposures. Football and ice hockey are similar, as are soccer and basketball. The concussion rate for football is 0.92. Lacrosse has the lowest overall rate of the six contact sports at 0.40. The rates are noticeably lower for the five non-contact sports, especially for *concuss*. The main type of injury for these sports is *tear*. As just noted, swimming has very low rates.

Interesting checks on the football concussion rate of 0.92 are football concussion rates computed from an Ivy League/Big Ten epidemiology study. Concussion

data have been collected since the fall of 2013 from Ivy League and Big Ten universities. Preliminary results for the Ivy League have been reported by Carolyn S. Campbell-McGovern in a March 2017 talk at the M.I.T/Sloan Analytics Conference.<sup>4</sup> The rates for the four academic years 2013/2014 through 2016/2017 are, respectively, 1.18, 1.62, 1.08, and 0.99. These rates are slightly higher than the 0.92 rate in Table 2, but only slightly. They are essentially consistent with the Datalys data. This is an important check on both sets of data, since the NCAA and Ivy League/Big Ten data collections are completely independent of each other.

For  $N$  the overall injury rate in Table 2 is 2.62 per 1,000 exposures. The rates for wrestling, football, and ice hockey are about three times this. The rates for soccer and basketball are about two and a half times this, and the rate for lacrosse is about two times this. For  $N$  the concussion rate is 0.06. The rate for wrestling is about 22 times this; the rates for football and ice hockey are about 15 times this, and the rates for soccer, basketball, and lacrosse are about 7 times this. There is obviously a clear difference between the contact and non-contact sports, with the differences for concussions being particularly large.

Table 3 presents the injury rates plus the total number of exposures, the total number of injuries, the number of days lost from the injuries, the number of days lost per injury, and the percent of injuries that required surgery. Table A1 in the Appendix is the same table disaggregated by the four injury types. The data are for all five years and all three divisions.

Tables 3 and A1 are self-explanatory. Football has the largest number of exposures at 25.77 million, followed by baseball and indoor track. Ice hockey, wrestling, and tennis are relatively small. The injury rates have already been discussed. The number of days lost per injury is highest for wrestling at 19.0, followed by tennis and football. The number of days lost per injury for  $N$  is 9.8, which is smaller than for the contact sports except basketball. Excluding swimming, the percent

---

<sup>4</sup>This talk is available on YouTube: <https://youtu.be/2RJ-6mWUOM>.

**Table 3**  
**Total Injuries and Severity**  
**All Five Years, All Three Divisions**

	1000(I/E)	<i>E</i> mil.	<i>I</i> thous.	<i>D</i> thous.	<i>D/I</i>	100( <i>S/I</i> )
<b>all four injury types</b>						
Wrestling	8.34	2.87	23.9	454.3	19.0	4.69
Football	7.79	25.77	200.7	3,106.1	15.5	7.40
Ice Hockey	7.77	1.93	15.0	173.3	11.6	3.17
Soccer	6.50	8.42	54.8	568.9	10.4	2.62
Basketball	6.33	9.76	61.8	520.6	8.4	3.91
Lacrosse	5.49	4.26	23.4	294.7	12.6	5.56
<i>N</i> : Non-contact	2.37	40.35	105.9	1,033.1	9.8	2.83
Tennis	3.50	3.12	10.9	161.6	14.8	0.68
Baseball	3.17	14.26	45.2	475.5	10.5	4.06
Indoor track	2.43	10.44	25.4	182.5	7.2	1.87
Cross country	2.26	4.72	10.7	87.0	8.1	0.00
Outdoor track	1.75	7.81	13.7	126.5	9.2	2.55
Swimming	0.70	6.23	4.4	15.7	3.6	8.68

See notes to Table 2.

*D* = number of days lost due to injuries.

*S* = number of injuries that required surgery.

of injuries that require surgery is highest for football at 7.40 percent, followed by lacrosse, wrestling, and baseball. The percent for swimming is high, but the overall number of injuries for swimming is small and this percent is probably not trustworthy.

For *concuss* in Table A1 by far the most concussions are in football at 23,700. The next highest is 4,300 in basketball. For *N* the number of concussions is 2,500.

Table 4 presents the injury rates for the three divisions, aggregated by the five years. Except for lacrosse, the rates are higher for division I than for division II. In most cases they are considerably higher. For example, for football

**Table 4**  
**Injury Rates by Division**  
**All Five Years**

	1000(I/E)			
	I	II	III	All
<b>all four injury types</b>				
Wrestling	10.74	3.47	8.88	8.34
Football	9.57	.596	6.60	7.79
Ice Hockey	7.13	6.79	8.36	7.77
Soccer	7.43	3.29	7.52	6.50
Basketball	7.94	5.29	5.51	6.33
Lacrosse	3.54	4.45	6.73	5.49
<i>N</i> : Non-contact	3.28	1.81	2.40	2.62
Tennis	4.58	3.19	2.49	3.50
Baseball	3.98	2.53	2.98	3.17
Indoor track	3.14	1.89	1.94	2.43
Cross country	2.19	1.16	2.96	2.26
Outdoor track	2.65	0.50	0.93	1.75
Swimming	0.54	0.49	1.04	0.70

See notes to Table 2.

the rate for division I is 9.57 versus 5.96 for Division II. The rates for division III are generally higher than those for division II. Comparing division III to division I, the rates are higher for division III for ice hockey, soccer, lacrosse, cross country, and swimming. It is interesting that division III is closer to division I than is division II. Division III is even higher than division I for ice hockey, soccer, lacrosse, cross country, and swimming.

Table 5 presents the injury rates for each of the five academic years, aggregated by the three divisions. Five years is not a long enough time period to test for any trend effects, but the data can at least be casually examined to see if any trends look like they are developing. For the aggregation of all four injury types, there are no systematic patterns in the table. The rate for wrestling is highest in the first

**Table 5**  
**Injury Rates Over Time**  
**All Three Divisions**

	1000(I/E)					
	Years					
	2009/ 2010	2010/ 2011	2011/ 2012	2012/ 2013	2013/ 2014	All 5 Years
	<b>all four injury types</b>					
Wrestling	14.64	8.49	6.34	6.19	7.79	8.34
Football	7.95	6.50	7.54	7.74	9.11	7.79
Ice Hockey	5.93	9.10	7.86	8.11	7.89	7.77
Soccer	5.32	7.55	6.31	6.45	6.83	6.50
Basketball	6.07	6.78	6.13	6.11	6.56	6.33
Lacrosse	5.33	5.02	5.43	6.32	5.33	5.49
<i>N</i> : Non-contact	4.08	2.34	2.49	2.26	2.46	2.62
Tennis	4.08	3.20	2.75	2.94	4.20	3.50
Baseball	3.54	2.61	3.17	3.51	3.14	3.17
Indoor track	4.00	2.24	3.03	1.53	1.94	2.43
Cross country	7.92	2.07	0.86	2.16	1.70	2.26
Outdoor track	3.72	1.64	1.60	1.64	1.51	1.75
Swimming	0.24	0.48	1.16	0.55	0.94	0.70
	<b>concuss</b>					
Wrestling	2.01	1.58	1.55	1.30	0.53	1.35
Football	0.78	0.75	0.86	1.05	1.12	0.92
Ice Hockey	0.76	1.19	0.80	0.76	0.85	0.87
Soccer	0.37	0.66	0.23	0.13	0.52	0.37
Basketball	0.32	0.45	0.38	0.42	0.60	0.44
Lacrosse	0.10	0.24	0.49	0.42	0.65	0.40
<i>N</i> : Non-contact	0.02	0.10	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.06
Tennis	0.00	0.16	0.00	0.00	0.23	0.09
Baseball	0.04	0.16	0.09	0.14	0.11	0.11
Indoor track	0.00	0.08	0.04	0.00	0.02	0.03
Cross country	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.15	0.00	0.06
Outdoor track	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.02	0.00	0.02
Swimming	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.09	0.02	0.04

See notes to Table 2.

year, and the rate for football is highest in the fifth year. For  $N$  the rate is highest in the first year and then essentially flat for the remaining four years. Basketball and lacrosse are fairly flat for all five years. Ice hockey and soccer are erratic.

For *concuss* in Table 5, there is a downward trend for wrestling and an upward trend for football and lacrosse. For football the rate rises from 0.78 for the first year to 1.12 for the fifth year. The positive concussion trend for football is contrary to the Ivy League rates mentioned above, where they show a slight downward trend. But more data are needed before any conclusions can be drawn.

## **5 Estimated Injury Savings from Banning Contact**

It is clear that injury rates are higher in contact than non-contact sports. It is interesting to consider the injury savings that would result if contact sports could be changed so that their injury rates were the same as those for  $N$ . An attempt is made in this section to estimate these savings. It will be assumed that football, ice hockey, soccer, basketball, and lacrosse can be changed to have the same injury rates as for  $N$ . It will also be assumed that wrestling is eliminated, since there is no way to exclude contact in wrestling.

### **Use of Datalys Data Only**

Calculations of the savings using the Datalys data are presented in Table 6. The table estimates the number of injuries that would be saved if wrestling were eliminated and the other contact sports were changed to have injury rates like those for  $N$ . The table considers all four injury types together, all three divisions, and all five years.

The actual injury rate for football is 7.79, and the table shows that if this rate were instead the rate for  $N$ , namely 2.62, the number of injuries would be 67,500 instead of 200,700. The difference of 133,200 injuries is the number of injuries saved. The table also shows that if the number of days lost per injury were 9.8,

**Table 6**  
**Injury Savings Estimates**  
**All Four Injury Types, All Five Years, All Three Divisions**

		1000( <i>I/E</i> )	<i>E</i> mil.	<i>I</i> thous.	<i>D/I</i>	<i>D</i> thous.
<i>N</i> : Non-contact	actual	2.62	40.34	105.9	9.8	1,033.1
Wrestling	actual	8.34	2.87	23.9	19.0	454.3
Wrestling (banned)	none	0	0	0	0	0
Difference	actual - none			23.9		454.3
Football	actual	7.79	25.77	200.7	15.5	3,106.1
Football*	like <i>N</i>	2.62	25.77	67.5	9.8	661.7
Difference	actual - like <i>N</i>			133.2		2,444.4
Ice hockey	actual	7.77	1.93	15.0	11.6	173.3
Ice hockey*	like <i>N</i>	2.62	1.93	5.1	9.8	50.0
Difference	actual - like <i>N</i>			9.9		123.3
Soccer	actual	6.50	8.42	54.8	10.4	568.9
Soccer*	like <i>N</i>	2.62	8.42	22.1	9.8	216.6
Difference	actual - like <i>N</i>			32.7		352.3
Basketball	actual	6.33	9.76	61.8	8.4	520.6
Basketball*	like <i>N</i>	2.62	9.76	25.6	9.8	250.9
Difference	actual - like <i>N</i>			36.2		269.7
Lacrosse	actual	5.49	4.26	23.4	12.6	294.7
Lacrosse*	like <i>N</i>	2.62	4.26	11.2	9.8	109.8
Difference	actual - like <i>N</i>			12.8		184.9
Total savings				248.1		3,828.9
Total savings per year				49.6		765.8

Actual values are from Table 3.

\*Values if *I/E* and *D/I* were like *N*.

the rate for *N*, instead of the actual rate of 15.5, there would be 2,444,400 fewer days lost. The calculations for the other sports are similar except for wrestling, where all injuries and days lost are saved because the sport is eliminated. The total savings over the five years are 248,100 fewer injuries and 3,828,900 fewer days lost. More than half of the total is from football. On a per year basis the totals



come to 49,600 fewer injuries and 765,800 fewer days lost.

The same calculations in Table 6 can be done for concussions alone. The most interesting case is football, which dominates in concussions. In Table A1 the concussion rate for football is 0.92 and the number of days lost per injury is 13.2. For  $N$  the two rates are 0.06 and 18.8 respectively. Using 0.06 in place of 0.92 and 18.8 in place of 13.2 yields the number of football concussions saved over the five years of 22,200 or 4,400 per year and the number of days lost saved over the five years of 284,600 or 56,900 per year.<sup>5</sup>

The data for *concuss* in Table A1 can be used to compute the number of concussions saved for the other five contact sports, as just done for football. The number of concussions saved per year is 700 for wrestling, 300 for ice hockey, 500 for soccer, 700 for basketball, and 300 for lacrosse. The total across the six sports is 6,900.

### **Use of Mathers et al. (1999) Disability Indices**

Mathers et al. (1999) in a massive study in Australia have estimated disability indices for many diseases and injuries. The values range from 0 for no disability (no loss of quality of life) to 1 for essentially death. These indices pertain to a year of life. Let  $Z$  denote this index. If  $Z$  is 0, there is no loss in the quality of life for the year. If  $Z$  is, say, 0.3, the quality of life for the year is 70 percent of a healthy or injury free year of life.<sup>6</sup>

Table A2 in the Appendix presents the Mathers et al. disability indices. The NCAA injury categories in Table 1 are matched to the Mathers et al. injuries. For two of the injury groups that make up *bone*, fracture and fracture (stress), there are a number of different injuries in Mathers et al. (depending on where the fracture is). In this case the more detailed Mathers et al. categories were used and matched

---

<sup>5</sup>Note that the number of days lost per concussion is higher for  $N$  than for football. The higher number has been used here to be consistent with the procedure in Table 6.

<sup>6</sup>Abelson (2004) has used these estimates to examine whether injury compensation in Australia is excessive. See also Abelson (2003).

to the more detailed NCAA fracture and fracture (stress) injuries.

There are 33 injuries in Table A2 and thus 33 disability index values. Some of the values are the same because the same Mathers et al. category was sometimes matched to more than one NCAA injury. Given the values of  $Z$ , it is possible to do the following, as spelled out in Table 7. Take, for example, football, where there are 200,700 injuries across the four injury types, the three divisions, and the five years (from Tables 3 and 6). This number is in row (1) in Table 7. There is a value of  $Z$  for each injury, i.e., each NCAA injury falls into one of the 33 categories in Table A2. For a particular injury,  $Z$  is the fraction of the year that is not healthy, i.e., injured. Summing the values of  $Z$  for all 200,700 injuries gives the total number of years lost-to-injury, which is 22,600 years. This number is in row (2) of the table. Row (3) is the ratio of row (2) to row (1), which is the average number of years lost-to-injury per year. For football this average is 0.113 years. Rows (4) through (8) in Table 7 assume no wrestling and that the other contact sports are like  $N$ . Row (4) is the number of injuries from Table 6. Row (5) is the average number of years lost-to-injury computed for  $N$  using the Mather et al. disability indices, which is 0.088. Row (6), which is row (4) times row (5), gives the total number of years lost-to-injury. Row (7) is the actual number of years lost-to-injury from row (2) minus the number of years lost-to-injury from row (6), which is the number of years lost-to-injury saved. Row (8) is row (7) divided by five, which is the number of years lost-to-injury saved per year. For football this is 3,300 years per year. The last column in Table 7 gives the totals. The total number of years lost-to-injury saved per year is 6,000. More than half of the total is from football.

It is interesting to compare the average number of days lost per injury in Table 6, namely  $D/I$ , to the average number of years lost-to-injury in row (3) in Table 7. For football the average number of days lost per injury in Table 6 is 15.5 and the average number of years lost-to-injury in Table 7 is 0.113, which is about 41 days. The number of days computed using the Mathers et al. disability indices is thus

**Table 7**  
**Estimates of Years Lost-to-Injury Saved**  
**All Four Injury Types, All Five Years, All Three Divisions**  
**Numbers are in Thousands**

	Wrest- ling	Foot- ball	Ice Hockey	Soccer	Basket- ball	Lac- rosse	Total
<b>Actual</b>							
(1) No. of injuries (Table 6)	23.9	200.7	15.0	54.8	61.8	23.4	379.6
(2) No. of years lost to injury	2.9	22.6	1.8	5.4	6.3	2.4	41.5
(3) (2)/(1) Ave. No. of years lost-to-injury per injury	0.121	0.113	0.120	0.099	0.102	0.103	0.109
<b>No wrestling; others same as <i>N</i></b>							
(4) No. of injuries (Table 6, like <i>N</i> )	0	67.5	5.1	22.1	25.6	11.2	131.5
(5) Ave. No. of years lost-to-injury per injury for <i>N</i>	0.088	0.088	0.088	0.088	0.088	0.088	0.088
(6) (4)×(5) No. of years lost-to-injury	0	5.9	0.4	1.9	2.3	1.0	11.6
(7) (2)–(6) No. of years lost-to-injury saved	2.9	16.7	1.4	3.5	4.0	1.4	29.9
(8) (7)÷5 No. of years lost-to-injury saved per year	0.6	3.3	0.3	0.7	0.8	0.3	6.0

Row (2) is computed using the Mathers et al. disability indices.

Row (5) is the value for *N* computed using the Mathers et al. disability indices.

considerably larger than the number of days lost from the Datalys data. The number of days lost in the Datalys data is the number of days before the student returns to his sport. For better or worse, the Mathers et al. disability indices are in effect assuming some continuing loss to the student after he returns.

## 6 Estimated Dollar Savings from Banning Contact

The estimated injury savings in Tables 6 and 7 can stand by themselves as descriptive statistics. They are not based on any assumptions about the cost of an injury or the value of a year of life. In this section an attempt is made to put dollar values

on these estimates. How should they be valued? One possibility would be to ask students and their parents how much they would be willing to pay to have avoided an injury. If this were done by injury types, one could attempt to value the saved injuries. Specific college surveys of this type do not appear to exist, but there are injury cost estimates available. These estimates are in part based on medical costs, but they also take into account pain and suffering and opportunity cost of lost time. They are thus likely to be picking up some of what would be revealed by willingness-to-pay surveys.

### **National Safety Council Estimates**

The National Safety Council (2017b) (NSC) puts an estimated cost of a disabling injury at \$9,000 for a home injury and \$8,800 for a public injury in 2015. (The cost of a work injury is estimated to be about four times larger.<sup>7</sup>) A disabling injury is “one which results in death, some degree of permanent impairment, or renders the injured person unable to effectively perform his or her regular duties for a full day beyond the day of injury.” The cost includes “wage and productivity losses, medical expenses, and administrative expenses.”

If \$9,000 per injury is used and there are 49,600 fewer injuries per year, as estimated in Table 6, this is a cost saving of \$446 million per year. With 765,800 fewer days lost, also from Table 6, this comes to \$582 per day. Put another way, the estimated average cost of a day lost due to an injury is \$582 using the \$9,000 figure.

### **Department of Health and Human Services Estimates**

Estimates are also available from the Department of Health and Human Services (2014), ASPE Office of Health Policy, which are roughly supportive of the NSC estimates. The ASPE estimates are for medical expenses only and so are narrower

---

<sup>7</sup>The larger estimate for a work injury does not seem relevant in the present case because students do not have full time jobs.

in scope than the NSC estimates. Converted into 2015 dollars using the CPI, the ASPE estimates for 10-19 year olds are \$4,941 for fracture of leg, \$3,025 for fracture of arm, \$2,417 for sprains and strains, and \$7,315 for dislocation. These estimates are thus in the ball park of the NSC estimate of \$9,000, which also includes wage and productivity losses. They provide at least mild support to the use of the \$9,000 figure.

### **Value of a Year of Life**

There is a large literature on estimating the statistical value of a life. Estimates are less often presented of the value of a year of life, which is what is needed here. Cutler (2004) cites a value of \$100,000 per year, which in 2015 dollars is about \$125,000. This estimate, however, assumes that the value of a year of life does not vary with age. Murphy and Topel (2006), using a utility maximization framework, argue that the value of a year of life varies by age and is hump shaped, peaking at around age 50. Aldy and Viscusi (2008) make a similar argument, where they also estimate a peak at around age 50.

For the calculations here the interest is in people around age 20, namely students. Figure 2 in Murphy and Topel (2006) shows a value of a year of life at age 20 of \$200,000, which in 2015 dollars is about \$250,000. Figure 2 in Aldy and Viscusi (2006) gives similar values at age 20—\$150,000 cohort-adjusted and \$200,000 cross-section (before conversion to 2015 dollars). In the following calculations a value of \$250,000 in 2015 dollars will be used.

Table 7 estimates that 6,000 years lost-to-injury would be saved per year if wrestling were eliminated and the other contact sports were like *N*. Multiplying this number by \$250,000 is a cost saving of \$1.5 billion per year. This is considerably larger than the \$446 million using \$9,000 as the average cost of an injury. This difference may be due in part to the fact that the Mathers et al. indices are in effect assuming more days lost than are estimated in the Datalys data.

The results thus suggest that the value of the injury costs that would be saved is between \$446 million and \$1.5 billion per year.

## **7 High School Data**

The collection of the high school data is similar to that for the college data. A sample of about 100 high schools is selected, and each of these schools reports injury data. The sample data are then blown up to estimate national totals. The data are on the site: <http://www.ucdenver.edu/academics/colleges/PublicHealth/research/ResearchProjects/piper/projects/RIO/Pages/Study-Reports.aspx>. The data have been collected since 2005, and yearly reports are available for each year beginning with the 2005/2006 academic year. There are five boys' sports: wrestling, football, soccer, basketball, and baseball.

To match the college results, data for the five academic years 2009/2010 through 2013/2014 were used. For each of the five sports, the following data were collected from the reports: 1) the nationally estimated number of injuries, 2) the injury rate per 1,000 athlete exposures, 3) the number of injuries that required surgery, 4) the number of injuries for each of the top ten injuries for the year, and 5) data to compute the total number of days lost due to injury. Given 1) and 2), the total number of exposures is simply the number of injuries divided by the injury rate times 1,000. The top ten injuries for the year varied slightly by year. For present purposes an "all other" injury category was computed as the difference between total injuries and the sum of the ten injuries.

The number of days lost was computed as follows. Six days-lost categories are listed in the reports, and a frequency is assigned to each category, where the six frequencies sum to one. The categories are 1) 1-2 days, 2) 3-6 days, 3) 7-9 days, 4) 10-21 days, 5) >21 days, and 6) other. "Other" include medical disqualification for the season or for career, athlete chooses not to continue, and the season ended before the athlete returned to play. Frequencies were assigned separately to competition

injuries and practice injuries, where the sum of competition and practice injuries is total injuries. For present purposes the following number of days was assigned to each category: 1.5, 4.5, 8.0, 15.5, 30.0, and 50.0. The first four are mid points, and the last two are simply guesses. For competition these numbers were multiplied by the relevant frequency to get total number of days lost from competition. The same was done for practice, and the two were summed to get the total number of days lost.

Regarding the ten injury categories, each category was assigned to one of the four injury types in Table 1. A fifth injury type was also used, which is the “all other” category mentioned above. There was always a concussion category, always first or second in the ranking, but in a few cases there were no injuries for one of the other three types in Table 1. However, the number of injuries in the “all other” category is quite large and clearly includes injuries that would be classified as *bone*, *tear*, or *muscle* if they were known separately (but not *concuss*, which was always available).

The only non-contact sport of the five is baseball, and it is used as the non-contact sport, *N*. In Table 2 baseball for college has an overall injury rate of 3.17, slightly higher than the aggregate non-contact rate of 2.62. For concussions the value is 0.11 versus 0.06. In general, baseball is close to the non-contact aggregate in Table 2, which supports its use as the non-contact base for the high school data.

Like for the college data, much of the following analysis focuses on aggregates—all five years and all injuries. Again, this is to lessen the effects of measurement error.

## 8 Injury Rates

The injury rates are reported in Table 8. This table has the same format as Table 2. The injury rates are smaller in Table 8 than in Table 2. For example, the high school rate for football is 3.73 injuries per 1,000 exposures and the college rate is

**Table 8**  
**Injury Rates: Injuries per 1,000 Exposures**  
**All Five Years**

	1000( <i>I</i> / <i>E</i> )					
	<b>all 5 injuries</b>	<b>concuss</b>	<b>bone</b>	<b>tear</b>	<b>muscle</b>	<b>other</b>
Wrestling	2.30	0.44	0.06	0.98	0.01	0.81
Football	3.73	0.90	0.18	1.48	0.07	1.10
Soccer	1.59	0.36	0.02	0.75	0.09	0.37
Basketball	1.36	0.17	0.06	0.75	0.04	0.33
<i>N</i> : Baseball	0.90	0.10	0.05	0.42	0.02	0.30

*I* = number of injuries, *E* = number of exposures.  
The non-contact sport is baseball.

7.79. For baseball high school is 0.90 and college is 3.17. In a few cases, however, the injury rates are essentially the same. The concussion rate for football is 0.90 for high school versus 0.92 for college. For soccer the two concussion rates are 0.36 versus 0.37, and for baseball the two concussion rates are 0.10 versus 0.11. Concussions are clearly a larger fraction of total injuries in high school than in college.

It is interesting that the high school football concussion rate is similar to the college rate (from both the Datalys data and the Ivy League data) at about 1 concussion per 1,000 exposures. This rate is much higher than .06 concussions in the Datalys data for the non-contact sports and .10 concussions in the RIO data for the non-contact sport, baseball.

Table 9 presents the injury rates plus other information. This table has the same format as Table 3. The number of exposures is much larger for high school than for college. For football there are 754.06 million high school exposures versus 25.77 million for college. For baseball the two numbers are 275.71 million versus 14.26 million. Total injuries and total days lost are thus also much larger for high school. For football there are 2,812,200 injuries in high school over the five years



**Table 9**  
**Total Injuries and Severity**  
**All Five Years**

	1000( <i>I/E</i> )	<i>E</i> mil.	<i>I</i> thous.	<i>D</i> thous.	<i>D/I</i>	100( <i>S/I</i> )
<b>all five injury types</b>						
Wrestling	2.30	185.17	425.5	4,778.5	11.2	5.10
Football	3.73	754.06	2,812.2	28,116.0	10.0	8.14
Soccer	1.59	467.91	743.3	5,779.9	7.8	5.74
Basketball	1.36	281.74	381.9	3,054.4	8.0	6.49
<i>N</i> : Baseball	0.90	275.71	246.8	2,137.3	8.7	7.55
<b>concuss</b>						
Wrestling	0.44	185.17	81.2			
Football	0.90	754.06	679.3			
Soccer	0.36	467.91	166.3			
Basketball	0.17	281.74	49.2			
<i>N</i> : Baseball	0.10	275.71	28.9			

See notes to Table 8.

*D* = number of days lost due to injuries.

*S* = number of injuries that required surgery.

compared to 200,700 in college. Concussions in football total 679,300 in high school compared to 23,700 in college (Table A1). The number of days lost per injury are similar between high school and college, slightly lower for high school. The surgery rates are also similar.

Table 10 presents injury rates over time. It is in the same format as Table 5. The total injury rates are fairly stable over time. No obvious patterns emerge. There are also no patterns for concussions in Table 10. The concussion rate for football ranges from 0.82 to 0.96. For baseball the range is 0.07 to 0.13. Some of the studies discussed in Section 2 found significant trends in concussion rates using the RIO data, but no trends are obvious for concussions in Table 10. In the

**Table 10**  
**Injury Rates Over Time**

	1000(I/E)					
	Years					
	2009/ 2010	2010/ 2011	2011/ 2012	2012/ 2013	2013/ 2014	All 5 Years
	<b>all five injury types</b>					
Wrestling	2.01	2.50	2.33	2.48	2.12	2.30
Football	3.50	3.78	3.87	3.74	3.73	3.73
Soccer	1.56	1.64	1.52	1.62	1.60	1.59
Basketball	1.35	1.40	1.47	1.45	1.08	1.36
N: Baseball	0.81	0.83	0.88	1.01	0.94	0.90
	<b>concuss</b>					
Wrestling	0.30	0.62	0.34	0.51	0.40	0.44
Football	0.82	0.89	0.96	0.89	0.94	0.90
Soccer	0.30	0.37	0.39	0.39	0.30	0.36
Basketball	0.19	0.19	0.20	0.19	0.09	0.17
N: Baseball	0.11	0.12	0.07	0.10	0.13	0.10

See notes to Table 8.

present case, however, the sample is not large enough to test for any trends.

## 9 Estimated Injury Savings from Banning Contact

As with the college data, injury rates for high school are higher in contact than non-contact sports, where for high school baseball is used as the non-contact sport. Table 11 provides estimates of the injuries that would be saved if wrestling were eliminated and football, soccer, and basketball were changed to have injury rates the same as those for baseball. The table is in the same format as Table 6.

Consider football. The actual injury rate is 3.73 per 1,000 exposures. If it were instead 0.90, the rate for baseball, there would be 678,700 injuries in the five-year

**Table 11**  
**Injury Savings Estimates**  
**All Five Injury Types, All Five Years**

		1000( <i>I/E</i> )	<i>E</i> mil.	<i>I</i> thous.	<i>D/I</i>	<i>D</i> thous.
<i>N</i> : Baseball	actual	0.90	275.71	246.8	8.7	2,137.3
Wrestling	actual	2.30	185.17	425.5	11.2	4,778.5
Wrestling (banned)	none	0	0	0	0	0
Difference	actual - none			425.5		4,778.5
Football	actual	3.73	754.06	2,812.2	10.0	28,116.0
Football*	like <i>N</i>	0.90	754.06	678.7	8.7	5904.7
Difference	actual - like <i>N</i>			2,133.5		3,904.7
Soccer	actual	1.59	467.91	743.3	7.8	5,779.9
Soccer*	like <i>N</i>	0.90	467.91	421.1	8.7	3663.6
Difference	actual - like <i>N</i>			322.2		2,116.3
Basketball	actual	1.36	281.74	381.9	8.0	3,054.4
Basketball*	like <i>N</i>	0.90	281.74	253.6	8.7	2,206.3
Difference	actual - like <i>N</i>			128.3		848.1
Total savings				3,009.5		29,954.2
Total savings per year				601.9		5,990.8

Actual values are from Table 9.

\*Values if *I/E* and *D/I* were like baseball, *N*.

period instead of the actual 2,812,200 injuries. There would thus be 2,133,500 fewer injuries. There would also be 3,904,700 fewer days lost using 8.7 as days lost per injury, the baseball rate, rather than the actual 10.0 days lost per injury.

The table shows that for all four sports the total savings on a per year basis are 601,900 injuries and 5,990,800 days lost. These compare to the college numbers in Table 6 of 49,600 injuries and 765,800 days lost. The injury savings are thus about 12 times greater for high school due to the larger number of exposures.

As with college, it is interesting to look at the concussion rate for football. Table 9 shows that the concussion rate is 0.90 for football and 0.10 for baseball.

If the rate for football were 0.10, there would be 75,406 concussions rather than the actual number of 679,300. The number of concussions saved is thus 603,894, which on a per year basis is 120,800. This compares to the college number of 4,400 fewer concussions per year.

For the other three contact sports, the number of concussions saved per year is 12,500 for wrestling, 23,900 for soccer, and 4,200 for basketball. The total across the four is 161,400.

It is also possible to use the Mathers et al. disability indices to estimate the number of years lost-to-injury and the number saved. As discussed in Section 7, data were collected on 10 injury categories per year and sport, where the categories sometimes changed slightly across years. An “all other” category was also created. Each category was matched to one of the Mathers et al. injuries in Table A2, and the corresponding Mathers rate was used. For example, for concussions the rate of 0.359 was used. For the all other category the rate of 0.118 was used, which is the Mathers rate for “Sports injuries.” This then allowed the number of years lost-to-injury to be calculated per injury category, per sport, and per year. For a given sport and year, the total number of years lost-to-injury is the sum across the injury categories.

Given the estimates of the number of years lost-to-injury, the number saved can be computed. This is done in Table 12, which is in the same format as Table 7. For example, for football the number of years lost-to-injury is 429,400 (row (2)), which is an average of 0.153 per injury (row (3)). If football were like baseball, the number of years lost-to-injury would be 81,400 (row 6)). On a yearly basis the number of years lost-to-injury saved is 69,600 (row (8)). Across the five sports, the total number of years lost-to-injury saved is 96,000 (row 8)). This compares to 6,000 in Table 7 for college. For high school over 70 percent of the savings is from football.

Similar to college, it is interesting to compare the average number of days lost per injury in Table 11, namely  $D/I$ , to the average number of years lost-to-injury

**Table 12**  
**Estimates of Years Lost-to-Injury Saved**  
**All Five Injury Types, All Five Years**  
**Numbers are in Thousands**

	Wrest- ling	Foot- ball	Soccer	Basket- ball	Total
<b>Actual</b>					
(1) No. of injuries (Table 11)	425.5	2,812.2	743.3	381.9	4,362.9
(2) No. of years lost-to-injury	59.6	429.4	107.8	45.8	642.6
(3) (2)/(1) Ave. No. of years lost-to-injury per injury	0.140	0.153	0.145	0.120	0.147
<b>No wrestling; others same as baseball, <i>N</i></b>					
(4) No. of injuries (Table 11, like <i>N</i> )	0	678.7	421.1	253.6	1,353.4
(5) Ave. No. of years lost-to-injury per injury for <i>N</i>	0.120	0.120	0.120	0.120	0.120
(6) (4)×(5) No. of years lost-to-injury	0	81.4	50.5	30.4	162.4
(7) (2)–(6) No. of years lost-to-injury saved	59.6	348.0	57.3	15.4	480.2
(8) (7)÷5 No. of years lost-to-injury saved per year	11.9	69.6	11.5	3.1	96.0

Row (2) is computed using the Mathers et al. disability indices.

Row (5) is the value for baseball, *N*, computed using the Mathers et al. disability indices.

in row (3) in Table 12. For football the average number of days lost per injury in Table 11 is 10.0, and the average number of years lost-to-injury in Table 12 is 0.153, which is about 56 days. As with college, the number of days computed using the Mathers et al. disability indices is considerably larger than the number of days lost from the Datalys data. Remember that the 10.0 number in Table 11 is computed using 30 days for the category >21 days and 50 days for the category “other.” These are guesses, and if larger numbers were used, the 10.0 number would increase since there would be more days lost.

## **10 Estimated Dollar Savings from Banning Contact**

As with the results in Tables 6 and 7, the estimated injury savings in Table 11 and 12 can stand by themselves as descriptive statistics. Dollar values, however, can be put on these using the same methodology as in Section 6. In Table 11 there are 601,900 fewer injuries per year, and at \$9,000 per injury this is a saving of \$5.4 billion per year. With 5,990,800 fewer days lost, also from Table 11, this comes to \$901 per day. This value per day would be smaller if larger values were used for the last two injury categories discussed above. For college the value was \$582 per day.

Regarding the value of a year of life, if Figure 2 in Murphy and Topel (2006) is extrapolated back to age 17, the value of a year of life is about \$160,000, which in 2015 dollars is about \$200,000. In Table 12 there are 96,000 injury years that would be saved per year if wrestling were eliminated and the other contact sports were like baseball. Multiplying 96,000 by \$200,000 gives a cost saving \$19.2 billion. As with the college data, computing costs this way gives a larger value than using the cost of \$9,000 per injury.

The range of the estimated cost savings is thus \$5.4 billion to \$19.2 billion, which compares to the range of \$446 million to \$1.5 billion for college. Again, the high school savings are much larger because of the much larger number of exposures.

## **11 Summary and Policy Implications**

Table 13 summarizes some of the main findings of this paper. Five sports are presented: wrestling, football, soccer, basketball, and non-contact, where non-contact for college consists of tennis, baseball, indoor track, cross country, and outdoor track and where non-contact for high school is baseball. Except when the results for concussions are presented separately, the aggregation is across all

**Table 13**  
**Summary Results**  
**All Injuries, Divisions, Years**  
**Injury Values are in Thousands per Year**

	Wrest- ling	Foot- ball	Soccer	Basket- ball	<i>N</i>	Total
Injury rate (Col)	8.34	7.79	6.50	6.33	2.37	
Injury rate (HS)	2.30	3.73	1.59	1.36	0.90	
Total injuries (Col)	4.8	40.1	11.0	12.4	21.2	
Total injuries (HS)	85.1	562.4	148.7	76.4	49.4	
Concussion rate (Col)	1.35	0.92	0.37	0.44	0.06	
Concussion rate (HS)	0.44	0.90	0.36	0.17	0.10	
Total concussions (Col)	0.8	4.7	0.6	0.9	0.5	
Total concussions (HS)	16.2	135.9	33.3	9.8	5.8	
Injuries saved (Col)	4.8	26.6	6.5	7.2		49.6
Injuries saved (HS)	85.1	426.7	64.4	25.7		601.9
Concussions saved (Col)	0.7	4.4	0.5	0.7		6.9
Concussions saved (HS)	12.5	120.8	23.9	4.2		161.4

**Total Estimated Dollar Cost Savings Per Year**

College: \$446 million to \$1.5 billion

High School: \$5.4 billion to \$19.2 billion

“Total” for injuries saved and concussions saved for college includes Ice Hockey and Lacrosse

the injury types and across all three divisions for college. The aggregation is also across all five years, although the aggregate values have been divided by five to put them on a yearly basis.

The total number of injuries saved for a contact sport depends on the difference between its injury rate and the rate for *N*. For college football the actual rate is 7.79 and the rate for *N* is 2.37. For high school football the actual rate is 3.73 and the rate for *N* (baseball) is 0.90. The differences are much larger for concussions: 0.92 for college football versus 0.06 for *N*, and 0.90 for high school football versus 0.10 for *N*. Football is clearly the main sport. More than half of the college savings are from football, and more than 70 percent of the high school savings are from

football.

An important caveat on the estimated savings in Table 13 is that they are only for the short term. As noted in Section 2, it may be that long-term health problems and long-term costs from contact in sports are much larger than the short-term costs estimated here. The current data do not allow any long-term estimates.

What would it take to make football, ice hockey, soccer, basketball, and lacrosse have injury rates similar to those in tennis, baseball, indoor track, cross country, and outdoor track? For ice hockey, soccer, and lacrosse, the rules would have to be changed to allow no contact and the refereeing would have to be tighter. In addition, headers would have to be banned in soccer. For football the game would have to be changed to be non-contact football. An example of non-contact football is flag football, although other non-contact options are possible. Basketball is odd in that it is not supposed to be a contact sport, but its injury rates are in the contact sports range. There is, of course, contact in basketball and players frequently fall. Possible rule changes would be banning dunk shots (so that less of the game is in effect played above the rim) and tighter refereeing. Some experimentation would undoubtedly be needed to change the rules for each sport to achieve injury rates no higher than the rates for the currently non-contact sports.

People obviously differ on the weights they place on the costs and benefits of contact sports. For example, changing football to be a non-contact sport would be a large change in the sports culture in the United States, and it would not be popular among many people. This paper is an attempt to estimate the short-term injury costs of contact in sports. Its policy contribution is providing cost estimates for high school and college administrators and government policy makers that can be weighed against the benefits of such sports. The analysis has the advantage of not requiring or assuming the elimination of all injuries. All activities have some risk of injury. The base case is the injury experience in non-contact sports.

More work clearly needs to be done to see how well the current results hold up. Many institutions are reluctant to release data on injuries, and so data are not



easy to come by. For example, 18 Big Ten and Ivy League universities contribute concussion data to the Epidemiology of Concussions in Ivy League/Big Ten Sports study mentioned earlier. This collection is part of the Big Ten-Ivy League Traumatic Brain Injury Research Collaboration. The data from this project are not currently available to outside researchers except some summary values. Also, a survey of 19 Big Ten and Ivy League universities described in Yang et al. (2017) finds that only 16.7 percent to 42.1 percent of concussion data collected by the universities are available for research purposes. The hope is that this paper and the increased interest in sports injuries will encourage release of more data. Data for women would be particularly useful. Datalys data for women exist, but these data were not available to the authors for the current research project. It would also be interesting to examine data for individual colleges.

## Appendix

**Table A1**  
**Injuries and Severity per Injury Type**  
**All Five Years, All Three Divisions**

	1000( <i>I/E</i> )	<i>E</i> mil.	<i>I</i> thous.	<i>D</i> thous.	<i>D/I</i>	1000( <i>S/I</i> )
<b>concuss</b>						
Wrestling	1.35	2.87	3.9	91.9	23.6	0.00
Football	0.92	25.77	23.7	312.8	13.2	0.78
Ice Hockey	0.87	1.93	1.7	44.6	26.2	0.00
Soccer	0.37	8.42	3.1	41.7	13.5	0.00
Basketball	0.44	9.76	4.3	34.8	8.1	0.00
Lacrosse	0.40	4.26	1.7	19.3	11.4	0.00
<i>N</i> : Non-contact	0.06	40.34	2.5	47.0	18.8	0.00
Tennis	0.09	3.12	0.3	27.7	92.3	0.00
Baseball	0.11	14.26	1.5	17.2	11.5	0.00
Indoor track	0.03	10.44	0.3	1.5	5.0	0.00
Cross country	0.06	4.72	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.00
Outdoor track	0.02	7.81	0.1	0.6	6.0	0.00
Swimming	0.04	6.23	0.2	2.1	10.5	0.00
<b>bone</b>						
Wrestling	0.42	2.87	1.2	42.6	35.5	9.58
Football	0.34	25.77	8.8	466.0	53.0	29.61
Ice Hockey	0.46	1.93	0.9	31.8	35.3	15.53
Soccer	0.29	8.42	2.4	97.9	40.8	18.37
Basketball	0.34	9.76	3.4	141.9	41.7	28.15
Lacrosse	0.28	4.26	1.2	75.2	62.7	31.96
<i>N</i> : Non-contact	0.16	40.34	6.5	185.8	28.6	12.09
Tennis	0.16	3.12	0.5	28.8	57.6	0.00
Baseball	0.22	14.26	3.2	88.8	27.8	12.44
Indoor track	0.09	10.44	1.0	14.5	14.5	14.67
Cross country	0.31	4.72	1.5	40.1	26.7	0.00
Outdoor track	0.05	7.81	0.4	13.6	34.0	64.24
Swimming	0.04	6.23	0.2	1.9	9.5	0.00

**Table A1 (continued)**  
**Injuries and Severity per Injury Type**  
**All Five Years, All Three Divisions**

	1000(I/E)	<i>E</i> mil.	<i>I</i> thous.	<i>D</i> thous.	<i>D/I</i>	1000(S/I)
<b>tear</b>						
Wrestling	5.67	2.87	39.0	311.6	8.0	5.87
Football	5.13	25.77	132.1	2,223.9	16.8	8.99
Ice Hockey	3.71	1.93	7.2	88.0	12.2	4.39
Soccer	4.34	8.42	36.6	397.6	10.9	2.48
Basketball	4.00	9.76	16.3	321.9	16.8	3.55
Lacrosse	3.46	4.26	14.7	191.0	13.0	5.42
<i>N</i> : Non-contact	1.89	40.34	76.2	726.0	9.5	2.56
Tennis	2.95	3.12	9.2	98.6	10.7	0.81
Baseball	1.96	14.26	27.9	351.9	12.6	5.16
Indoor track	2.02	10.44	21.1	162.3	7.7	1.59
Cross country	1.57	4.72	7.4	30.3	4.1	0.00
Outdoor track	1.35	7.81	10.6	82.9	7.8	0.97
Swimming	0.51	6.23	3.2	4.8	1.5	11.96
<b>muscle</b>						
Wrestling	0.90	2.87	2.6	8.2	3.2	2.00
Football	1.41	25.77	36.2	103.4	2.9	0.55
Ice Hockey	2.72	1.93	5.3	8.8	1.7	0.42
Soccer	1.50	8.42	12.6	31.7	2.5	0.66
Basketball	1.55	9.76	15.1	22.0	1.5	0.54
Lacrosse	1.35	4.26	5.7	9.2	1.6	1.99
<i>N</i> : Non-contact	0.51	40.34	20.7	74.5	3.6	0.00
Tennis	0.30	3.12	0.9	6.6	7.3	0.00
Baseball	0.88	14.26	12.6	17.7	1.4	0.00
Indoor track	0.29	10.44	3.1	4.2	1.4	0.00
Cross country	0.33	4.72	1.5	16.6	11.1	0.00
Outdoor track	0.33	7.81	2.6	29.4	11.3	0.00
Swimming	0.12	6.23	0.7	6.8	9.7	0.00

**Table A2**  
**Disability Rates from Mathers et al. (1999)**

NCAA Category	Mathers Study	Mathers Rate
<b>concuss</b>		
Concussion	Intracranial Injury (Short-term)	0.359
Nervous System	Intracranial Injury (Short-term)	0.359
<b>bone</b>		
Exostosis	Sports Injuries	0.118
Myositis Ossificans	Sports Injuries	0.118
Osteochondritis	Sports Injuries	0.118
Fracture and Fracture (stress):		
Ankle	Ankle	0.196
Upper Arm	Clavicle, Scapula or Humerus	0.153
Cervical Spine/Neck	Vertebral Column	0.266
Chest/Ribs	Rib or Sternum	0.199
Elbow	Radius/Ulna	0.180
Foot/Toes	Foot Bones	0.077
Forearm	Radius/Ulna	0.180
Hand/Fingers	Hand Bones	0.100
Head/Face	Face Bones	0.223
Hip/Groin	Pelvis	0.247
Knee	Patella, Tibia or Fibula	0.271
Lower Leg/Achilles	Patella, Tibia or Fibula	0.271
Lumbar Spine	Vertebral Column	0.266
Mouth	Episode Resulting in Tooth Loss	0.014
Nose	Face Bones	0.223
Sacrum/Pelvis	Pelvis	0.247
Shoulder/Clavicle	Clavicle, Scapula or Humerus	0.153
Thigh	Femur - Short Term	0.372
Thoracic Spine	Vertebral Column	0.266
Wrist	Hand Bones	0.100
<b>tear</b>		
Cartilage Injury	Sports Injuries	0.118
Dislocation	Dislocation	0.074
Sprain	Sprains	0.064
Strain	Sprains	0.064
Strain/Tear	Sprains	0.064
Subluxation	Dislocation	0.074
<b>muscle</b>		
Contusion (hematoma)	Sports Injuries	0.118
Spasm	Sports Injuries	0.118

## References

- [1] Abelson, Peter, 2003, “The Value of Life and Health for Public Policy,” *The Economic Record*, vol. 79, pp. S2–S13, June.
- [2] Abelson, Peter, 2004, “Is Injury Compensation Excessive?” *Economic Papers*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 129–139, June.
- [3] Aldy, Joseph De. and W. Kip Viscusi, 2008, “Adjusting the Value of a Statistical Life for Age and Cohort Effects,” *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, vol. 90, no. 3, pp. 573–581, August.
- [4] C. M. Baugh, P. T. Kiernan, E. Kroshus, D. H. Daneshvar, P. H. Montenegro, A. C. McKee, and R. A. Stern, 2014, “Frequency of head-impact–related outcomes by position in ncaa division i collegiate football players,” *Journal of Neurotrauma*, vol. 32, no. 5, pp. 314–326.
- [5] Comstock, R. Dawn, Dustin W. Currie, and Lauren A. Pierpoint, 2011–2015, “Summary Report,” *High School Sports-Related Injury Surveillance Study*, five reports, 2010–2011 through 2014–2015.
- [6] Cutler, David M., 2004, *Your Money or Your Life*, Oxford University Press, New York.
- [7] S. L. Dalton, Z. Y. Kerr, and T. P. Dompier, 2015, “Epidemiology of hamstring strains in 25 ncaa sports in the 2009-2010 to 2013-2014 academic years,” *The American Journal of Sports Medicine*, vol. 43, no. 11, pp. 2671–2679.
- [8] S. L. Dalton, A. B. Zupon, E. C. Gardner, A. Djoko, T. P. Dompier, and Z. Y. Kerr, 2016, “The epidemiology of hip/groin injuries in national collegiate athletic association men’s and women’s ice hockey: 2009-2010 through 2014-2015 academic years,” *Orthopaedic Journal of Sports Medicine*, vol. 4, no. 3.
- [9] Department of Health and Human Services, 2014, “Common Sports Injuries: Incidence and Average Charges,” it ASPE Issue Brief, March 17.
- [10] E. C. Gardner, 2015, “Head, face, and eye injuries in collegiate women’s field hockey,” *The American Journal of Sports Medicine*, vol. 43, no. 8, pp. 2027–2034.

- [11] E. E. Hibberd, Z. Y. Kerr, K. G. Roos, A. Djoko, and T. P. Dompier, 2016, "Epidemiology of acromioclavicular joint sprains in 25 national collegiate athletic association sports: 2009-2010 to 2014-2015 academic years," *The American Journal of Sports Medicine*.
- [12] Z. Y. Kerr, T. P. Dompier, E. M. Snook, S. W. Marshall, D. Klossner, B. Hainline, and J. Corlette, 2014, "National collegiate athletic association injury surveillance system: Review of methods for 2004-2005 through 2013-2014 data collection," *Journal of Athletic Training*, vol. 49, no. 4, pp. 552–560.
- [13] Z. Y. Kerr, E. Kroshus, J. Grant, J. T. Parsons, D. Folger, R. Hayden, and T. P. Dompier, 2016, "Epidemiology of national collegiate athletic association men's and women's cross-country injuries, 2009/2010 through 2013/2014," *Journal of Athletic Training*, vol. 51, no. 1, pp. 57–64, PMID: 26701643.
- [14] Z. Y. Kerr, S. W. Marshall, T. P. Dompier, J. Corlette, D. A. Klossner, and J. Gilchrist, 2016, "College Sports-Related Injuries - United States, 2009-10 Through 2013-14 Academic Years," *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, vol. 64, no. 48, pp. 1330–1336.
- [15] Z. Y. Kerr, K. G. Roos, A. Djoko, S. L. Dalton, S. P. Broglio, S. W. Marshall, and T. P. Dompier, "Epidemiologic measures for quantifying the incidence of concussion in national collegiate athletic association sports," *Journal of Athletic Training*, vol. 0, no. 0, p. null, 0. PMID: 27331336.
- [16] K. L. Kucera, S. W. Marshall, D. R. Bell, M. J. DiStefano, C. P. Goerger, and S. Oyama. 2011, "Validity of Soccer Injury Data from the National Collegiate Athletic Association's Injury Surveillance System," *Journal of Athletic Training*, vol. 46, no. 5, pp. 489–499.
- [17] Lincoln, Andrew E., Shane V. Caswell, Jon L. Almquist, Reginald E. Dunn, Joseph B. Norris, and Richard Y. Hinton, 2011, "Trends in Concussion Incidence in High School Sports: A Prospective 11-Year Study," *The American Journal of Sports Medicine*, vol. 39, no. 5, pp. 958–963.
- [18] R. C. Lynall, Z. Y. Kerr, A. Djoko, B. M. Pluim, B. Hainline, and T. P. Dompier, 2015, "Epidemiology of national collegiate athletic association men's and women's tennis injuries, 2009/2010?2014/2015," *British Journal of Sports Medicine*.

- [19] R. C. Lynall, Z. Y. Kerr, M. S. Parr, A. C. Hackney, and J. P. Mihalik, 2016, "Division i college football concussion rates are higher at higher altitudes," *Journal of Orthopaedic & Sports Physical Therapy*, vol. 46, no. 2, pp. 96–103, PMID: 26755407.
- [20] Marar, Mallika, Natalie M. McIlvain, Sarah K. Fields, and R. Dawn Comstock, 2012, "Epidemiology of Concussions Among United States High School Athletes in 20 Sports," *The American Journal of Sports Medicine*, vol. 40, no. 4, pp. 747–755.
- [21] Mathers, C., T. Vos, and C. Stevenson, 1999, *The Burden of Disease and Injury in Australia*, Australian Institute of Health and Welfare, AIHW Cat. No. PHE 17, Canberra.
- [22] T. McAllister, L. Flashman, A. Maerlender, R. Greenwald, J. Beckwith, T. Tosteson, J. Crisco, P. Brolinson, S. Duma, A. Duhaime, M. Grove, and J. Turco, 2012, "Cognitive effects of one season of head impacts in a cohort of collegiate contact sport athletes," *Neurology*, vol. 78, no. 22, pp. 1777–1784.
- [23] P. H. Montenegro, M. L. Alosco, B. M. Martin, D. H. Daneshvar, J. Mez, C. E. Chaisson, C. J. Nowinski, R. Au, A. C. McKee, R. C. Cantu, M. D. McClean, R. A. Stern, and T. Yorghos, 2016, "Cumulative head impact exposure predicts later-life depression, apathy, executive dysfunction, and cognitive impairment in former high school and college football players," *Journal of Neurotrauma*.
- [24] Murphy, Kevin M., and Robert H. Topel, 2006, "The Value of Health and Longevity," *Journal of Political Economy*, vol 114, no. 3, pp. 871–904.
- [25] National Safety Council, 2017a, *Injury Facts 2017 Edition*.
- [26] National Safety Council, 2017b, "Estimating the Costs of Unintentional Injuries, 2015," March.
- [27] K. G. Roos, E. B. Wasserman, S. L. Dalton, A. Gray, A. Djoko, T. P. Dompier, and Z. Y. Kerr, 2016, "Epidemiology of 3825 injuries sustained in six seasons of national collegiate athletic association men's and women's soccer (2009/2010-2014/2015)," *British Journal of Sports Medicine*.

- [28] Rosenthal, Joseph A., Randi E. Foraker, Christy L. Collins, and R. Dawn Comstock, 2014, “National High School Athlete Concussion Rates From 2005–2006 to 2011–2012,” *The American Journal of Sports Medicine*, vol. 42, no. 7, pp. 1710–1715.
- [29] Schallmo, Michael S., Joseph A. Weiner, and Wellington K. Hsu., 2017, “Sport and Sex-Specific Reporting Trends in the Epidemiology of Concussions Sustained by High School Athletes,” *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery*, vol. 99, pp. 1314-1320..
- [30] Yang, Jingzhen, Corinne Peek-Asa, James M. Noble, Jim Torner, Paul Schmidt, Martha Cooper, 2017, “Concussion Common Data Elements Collected among Big Ten and Ivy League Universities,” forthcoming.